



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07 260574	10/21/88		

EXAMINER	
TANENHOLT 2	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
184	4

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ellen Warner (3) _____
(2) Al Tanenholz (4) _____

Date of interview 2/22/89

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1-15

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of parent SN 848733

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ellen Warner

will (1) limit claims to *B. thuringiensis* toxin gene being expressed in insecticidal amounts (2) explain why references in parent case are inoperable and applicant's works (3) Will also urge unexpected results based on regenerating plants having such toxin genes. (4) Will limit claims to plant cell capable of regeneration and the use thereof.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Examiner's Signature

Al Tanenholz